

How do we evaluate the success of a scientist? By the number of published papers or by their impact - more precisely, the number of citations? Both elements matter. We say that a scientific paper has a **citation score** C if other scientists cited the paper in question in their paper (referred to it) a total of C times. One of the possible metrics of the success of scientists is their **h-index** that takes into account both the amount of papers and their citation scores.

A scientist's h-index is defined as the largest number H with the following properties: the scientist can choose H papers such that their citation score is at least H . For example, if a scientist wrote 10 papers such that each of them has been cited 10 or more times, their h-index is (at least) 10.

Write a programme that inputs the citation scores of all papers of a given scientist and outputs their h-index.

INPUT

The first line of input contains the positive integer N ($1 \leq N \leq 500\,000$), the number of papers of a given scientist.

The following line contains N non-negative integers from the interval $[0, 1\,000\,000]$, the citation scores of the respective papers.

OUTPUT

The first and only line of output must contain the required h-index.

SAMPLE TESTS

input

5
1 1 4 8 1

output

2

input

5
8 5 3 4 10

output

4

Clarification of the first test case: The scientist has two papers with citation scores larger than or equal to 2 (the papers with citation scores 4 and 8).

Clarification of the second test case: The scientist has four papers with citation scores larger than or equal to 4 (the papers with citation scores 8, 5, 4 and 10).